

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Craig H. Barratt et al.
Application No.: 10/760,024 Art Unit.: 2609
Filing Date: 01/15/2004 Examiner: Cheng C. Wu
For: "Apparatus And Method For Transmission Collision Avoidance"

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Date: October 25, 2007

AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL

1. Transmitted herewith is an amendment for this application.
2. **STATUS:** Applicant is other than a small entity.
3. **EXTENSION OF TERM:** The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.136 apply. Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition for extension of time.
4. **FEES FOR CLAIMS:** The fee for claims (37 C.F.R. 1.16(b)-(d)) has been calculated as shown below:

(Col.1)	(Col. 2)	(Col. 3)	LARGE ENTITY	
Claims Remaining After Amendment	Highest No. Previously Paid For	Present Extra	Rate	Addit. Fee
Total 4	Minus 47	= 0	x \$50 =	\$0
Indep. 3	Minus 7	= 0	x \$200 =	\$0
First Presentation of Multiple Dependent Claim		+ \$360 =	\$0	
		Total Addit. Fee	\$0	

No additional fee for claims is required.

5. **FEES DEFICIENCY:** If any additional extension and/or fee is required, please charge Deposit Account No. 50-0574 (Docket No. ATH-0116).

Customer No. 30547
Tel.: (408) 451-5907


SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER
Jeanette S. Harms
Reg. No. 35537

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Craig H. Barratt & William J. McFarland

Assignee: Atheros Communications, Inc.

Title: Apparatus and method for transmission collision avoidance

Serial No.: 10/760,024 File Date: January 15, 2004

Examiner: Cheng C. Wu Art Unit: 2609

Docket No.: ATH-0116

Date: October 25, 2007

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT IN RESPONSE TO THE FIRST OFFICE ACTION

Initial Comments:

This response addresses the status of Claims 39-67. Claims 39-42, 48-49, 52, 58-59, and 62-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 6,963,549 (Jayaraman). Claims 43 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Jayaraman in view of U.S. Publication 2003/0115369 (Walter). Claims 51, 54, 55, 60, 65, 65, and 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Jayaraman in view of U.S. Publication 2004/0165562 (Elaoud). Claims 44 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Jayaraman, Walter, and U.S. Patent 5,355,375 (Christensen). Claims 59, 53, and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Jayaraman in view of U.S. Patent 7,206,320 (Iwamura) and JP 11261518 (JP518). Claim 61 is rejected under

35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Jayaraman in view of Walter and Elaoud. Claims 56, 57, and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Jayaraman in view of Elaoud, Iwamura, and JP518.

Claim 39-49, 51, 52, 54-56, 58-60, and 62-67 are cancelled, thereby rendering the rejection of those claims moot. Claims 50, 53, 57, and 61 are amended herein.